When the Factory Made Disease: Alice Hamilton and the Roots of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

Maggie Weichert, Renaissance School of Medicine

It was a sweltering August afternoon in 2023 when a warehouse worker in his 30s collapsed at a Kroger distribution center in Memphis. Inside the facility, the air hung heavy and still as employees labored for hours in a section of the warehouse without air conditioning. Coworkers later recalled that the man had been sweating heavily and asking for water before he was found unresponsive. The incident, which occurred during extreme summer heat and prompted an investigation into workplace conditions, serves as a stark reminder that environmental hazards remain a persistent threat in modern workplaces.[1] For occupational and environmental medicine (OEM), cases like these are more than isolated tragedies—they are echoes of a century-old struggle: identifying workplace hazards, establishing the link between exposure and disease, and advocating for safer conditions. That struggle traces back to Chicago in the early 1900s, where a physician named Alice Hamilton confronted a remarkably similar challenge. At a time when occupational illnesses were poorly understood and rarely investigated, Hamilton pioneered new ways of studying disease in the workplace. As she later reflected in her autobiography, the discovery of industrial poisoning occurred not in laboratories but in the factories themselves. [2] This insight helped lay the foundation for occupational and environmental medicine as it exists today. While contemporaries such as Harry Mock and Clarence Olds Sappington also contributed to the emerging field, Hamilton's influence remains uniquely enduring. She established not only methods of investigation but also an ethical commitment to protecting workers—a dual legacy that later practitioners would expand upon but did not originate. Through meticulous research, advocacy for laborers, and a population-focused approach to prevention, Hamilton transformed industrial illness from a hidden problem into a recognized public health concern. [2, 3]

At the turn of the twentieth century, factories across the United States were filled with unseen dangers: lead, mercury, carbon monoxide, and other chemical hazards that sickened workers in silence.[3] Hamilton encountered these dangers firsthand while living at Hull House, the Chicago settlement community where she worked among immigrant and industrial laborers.[2] There she met men and women exhibiting neurological symptoms, anemia, and other unexplained ailments, their illnesses seemingly tied to the machines and chemicals that fueled industrial growth.[2] Rather than limiting herself to hospital wards, Hamilton walked the factory floors. She spoke directly with workers, examined machinery, and traced the movement of toxins through industrial spaces. [2,3] Her investigations were painstaking. In smelters, paint factories, and battery plants, Hamilton documented the ways lead exposure manifested in debilitating disease. [3] Just as important, she began to realize that illness could arise not only from direct handling of toxic materials but from the broader industrial environment surrounding workers. As she later described in her investigations:

> It is not enough to know what substances the patient has met in his work, one must know also what sort of work goes on in his neighborhood. I have known two rubber workers who did not handle lead at all, but who acquired plumbism from the dust that rose from a neighboring mixing mill…[3]

Hamilton's observation captured a critical insight: occupational disease could not be understood by examining a patient alone but required investigation of the entire industrial environment. She measured, recorded, and connected the dots, creating an investigative blueprint that would define the emerging specialty of OEM.

Through these efforts, Hamilton laid the foundation for what would later become occupational epidemiology: the systematic effort to connect workplace exposures with patterns of disease and translate those observations into prevention. Yet the significance of her work lies not simply in the data she collected, but in the method she pioneered. At a time when physicians typically treated illness as an individual misfortune, Hamilton reframed disease as a product of environment and industry. By tracing symptoms back to factory processes, ventilation systems,

and airborne dust, she shifted the focus of medicine outward—from the patient alone to the conditions shaping their health.[3] This approach demanded a new kind of physician investigator: one willing to move between clinic, factory, and community, piecing together evidence from workers, machinery, and industrial practices. In doing so, Hamilton blurred the boundary between medicine, public health, and social reform. Her investigations demonstrated that identifying disease was only the first step; understanding the conditions that produced it was the real task.

Hamilton's influence extended far beyond methodology; she became a moral voice in the emerging field of OEM. [2] In early industrial Chicago, powerful companies often concealed unsafe working conditions behind factory walls, treating worker illness as a cost of doing business. Upton Sinclair's *The Jungle*, based on his undercover investigation of the city's meatpacking plants, exposed the exploitation, hazardous environments, and systemic neglect of worker health that were otherwise invisible to the public and regulators. Hamilton confronted these same realities, taking on even greater personal and professional risk by publicly challenging wealthy industrialists as a female physician in a male-dominated field. Publishing her findings meant challenging wealthy industrialists invested in silence. Yet she maintained that protecting workers required transparency and accountability. [2] By insisting on exposing hazards despite economic and institutional pressures, Hamilton established a precedent for occupational physicians: the duty to safeguard worker health must take priority over financial or corporate interests.

Unlike many medical specialties focused on treating individual patients, OEM emphasizes prevention and population health. Hamilton grasped this early and made it the core of her work. She walked into bustling factories not simply to document disease but to ask, fundamentally, why it happened and how it could be prevented. In her investigations of lead poisoning, she later reflected, "that what I must look for was lead dust and lead fumes, that men were poisoned by breathing poisoned air, not by handling their food with unwashed hands" — a

realization that shifted the focus from patient behavior to workplace environment as the locus of risk.[2] For Hamilton, preventing disease was not a slogan — it was a strategy born of observation and evidence. In lead, mercury, benzene, and other industrial exposures, she identified the routes of harm and advocated for practical interventions: clean air, dust suppression, ventilation, and exposure limits — measures that were radical in an era when sickness was often blamed on workers themselves. Her surveys in Illinois contributed to early moves toward reporting requirements and employer accountability for work-related illness, laying groundwork for later safety regulation. [2,3] Decades later, institutions such as the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) would embody Hamilton's preventive principles. These agencies, like Hamilton, emphasize hazard identification, exposure control, and protective standards before disease occurs — the modern embodiment of her mission. In the 21st century, as heat stress climbs with climate change, wildfire smoke threatens farmworkers, and pandemics reveal new workplace risks, Hamilton's model — combining field-based investigation, environmental solutions, and worker protection advocacy — remains the living backbone for effective occupational medicine.

Hamilton's work was inseparable from the broader social reform movements of her time. Hull House exposed her to the economic and social realities of immigrant and working-class populations, instilling a commitment to investigating hazards that disproportionately affected vulnerable communities. Her efforts combined scientific rigor with a deep concern for public welfare, illustrating that occupational medicine is as much about social justice as it is about disease prevention. She writes:

> It sometimes seemed to me that industry was exploiting the finest and best in these men—their love of their children their sense of family responsibility. I think of an enameller of bathtubs whom I traced to his squalid little cottage. He was a young Slav who used to be so strong...Now, he told me, he climbed up like an old man and sank exhausted in a chair, he was so weary and if he tried to hoe or rake, he had to give it up. His digestion had failed, he had a foul mouth, he couldn't eat, he had, lost much weight… "Why did you keep on," I asked, "when you knew the lead was getting you?" "Well,

> there were the payments on the house," he said, "and the two kids." The house was a bare, ugly, frame shack, the children were little, underfed things badly in need of a handkerchief, but for them a man had sacrificed his health and his joy in life. When employers tell me they prefer married men and encourage their men to have homes of their own, because it makes them so much steadier, I wonder if they have any idea of all that that implies.[2]

The enameller's story underscores the human cost of industrial labor and the profound social dimensions of workplace hazards. Hamilton observed that workers often sacrificed their health not out of ignorance, but out of responsibility to their families—revealing how economic pressures, employer practices, and social expectations compounded the dangers of industrial work. Hamilton brilliantly captures both sides of the coin in her writing – and in this case what medical reports cannot – the ways in which working conditions shape the daily lives and well-being of individual workers. Hamilton's insights pushed the field to account for all dimensions of worker well-being—physical, psychological, and social—establishing a vision of OEM as a truly comprehensive discipline.

While Alice Hamilton laid the groundwork, other figures in OEM took her principles and built institutions around them. Harry E. Mock, for instance, used his position at Sears, Roebuck & Company to champion systematic industrial health programs, emphasizing education and preventive strategies for workers.[5] Clarence Olds Sappington, meanwhile, directed the National Safety Council's Division of Industrial Health, establishing *Industrial Medicine*, one of the first professional outlets dedicated to worker safety.[6] Yet neither Mock nor Sappington could have achieved these organizational and professional advances without Hamilton's investigative blueprint. She had shown that occupational disease was not an inevitable consequence of industrialization but a preventable hazard demanding systematic inquiry and reporting. [3] Hamilton's influence created a chain reaction. Mock organized programs and societies that

codified industrial health standards; Sappington expanded preventive education and reporting mechanisms. But the scientific grounding — the proof that workplace exposures caused illness and that interventions could work — was built on Hamilton's grit. In other words, without her boots-on-the-ground investigations and ethical courage, the structures and frameworks later built by her colleagues might have lacked both credibility and urgency.

Fast forward a century: the Memphis warehouse worker lies at the center of a story Hamilton might have recognized instantly. Sweat dripping, heat radiating from concrete floors, workers risking illness for wages — the challenges she faced in early 20th-century Chicago echo in modern distribution centers. Occupational physicians investigating these hazards today are, in essence, following Hamilton's footsteps. They measure exposures, chart patterns of illness, and push for preventive measures, often in the face of resistance from employers or economic pressures. The investigative methodology she pioneered — observe, document, analyze, and advocate — remains the core of occupational medicine practice. Hamilton's legacy is both moral and practical. She demonstrated that occupational disease is not a mysterious inevitability but a preventable crisis, one that demands courage, rigor, and advocacy. In factories, farms, and warehouses across the country, her influence persists: industrial hazards are no longer dismissed as "part of the job." Instead, the modern physician follows Hamilton's lead, uncovering the hidden machinery of harm and tracing illness to its industrial roots — highlighting that even today – factories can still make disease.

References

1. New York State Office of the Attorney General. Attorney General James takes action to protect workers from occupational heat exposure. New York (NY): Office of the Attorney General; 2024. Available from: https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2024/attorney-general-james-takes-action-protect-workers-occupational-heat-exposure. Accessed 2026 Mar 7.
2. Hamilton A**.** Exploring the dangerous trades: the autobiography of Alice Hamilton, MD**.** Boston (MA): Little, Brown; 1943.
3. Hamilton A. Industrial poisons in the United States**.** New York (NY): Macmillan; 1925.
4. Sinclair U. The jungle**.** New York (NY): Doubleday, Page & Company; 1906.
5. Guidotti TL. The handbook of occupational and environmental medicine: principles, practice, populations, and problem solving**.** 2nd ed. Santa Barbara (CA): Praeger; 2020.
6. Shepard S. OH&S celebrates 90 years of service to the occupational health and safety community**.** *Occup Health Saf.* 2022 Feb 1. Available from: https://eponline.com/OHS/OHS/Articles/2022/02/01/OHS-90-Years.aspx. Accessed 2026 Mar 7.